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Object Review Minutes

1. Overview

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Review Type:** | **Document Review** | | | | | | | | |
| **Review No:** | ORM-17121813 | Review Object Size | | 19 pages | | Code Size | | Ver 2.2 to be baselined to ver 2.3 | |
| **Review Object:** | SE25PT7SERIS/SPEC/REQUIREMENT/URS/WORK IN PROGRESS/RAM\_TURS.docx | | | | | | | | |
| **Authors:** | Balasubramanian Narasimhan | | | | | | | | |
| **Review Participants:** | Nay Lin Aung, GaoZhiyu, KaungMyat Bo | | | | | | | | |
| **Review duration: (in h)** |  | | Sum of Preparation Time : | | | | | |  |
| **Number of Comments** | Sum = 8 | | F = | I = 6 | D = | | O = 2 | | K = |

F = Functional improvement

I = Improvement of content

D = Defect

O = Open

K =No change, Just comment

DNE = Done, REJ =Reject < Give reason >

Object Review Minutes

1. Comments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Reviewer** | **Place** | **Comment/Error description** | **Type** | **Authors comment to implementation of issue** |
| 1. | Kaung Myat Bo | Pg 6, 1.7 | We can remove device now. Currently we only station and all sensors are attached to the station.  Plz change all ‘device’ to station if possible. | I | DNE. Amended. Most of them have been replaced with Station instead of referring to Device, except a very few for the definition purpose(s). |
| 2. |  | Pg 7, 2.2 Item 3 | Don’t have any interface for SERIS internal applications | I | DNE. Amended (re-worded) |
| 3. |  | Pg 7, 2.2 Item 4 | Audit trail for user accesses or incoming data.  Every incoming data is already saved in db. | I | DNE. Amended (Deleted. Changed to sensitive events) |
| 4. |  | Pg 9, 2.4 Item 2 | Plz change unstructured data to JSON data. | I | DNE. Amended |
| 5. |  | Page 18, 3.2.8 | Let’s have a discussion on this. There is a change. | O | DNE. Document amended with proposed changes |
| 6. |  |  | There is nothing mentioned about real-time health status monitoring | O | DNE. Refer to Pg.17 3.2.6 real-time dashboard, health status. However I have also added-in further details. |
| 7. |  | Page 11 | We need to have updated HLD diagram | I | RJE. When the HLD is updated and finalized this can be revised with the latest HLD. |
| 8. |  | Page 12,13 | We need to have updated Use case diagrams | I | RJE. When the UCMS is updated and finalized this can be revised with the latest Use-Case Diagram. |
| 9. | Bala Narasimhan | Document | Team reviews have either been accepted/DNE or RJE/Rejected with specific reason. Discussed with the reviewer/KM as well |  | Baseline |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |  |